

ALASKA ENDEMIC MAYFLY

Rhithrogena ingalik Randolph and McCafferty, 2005
(Heptageniidae)

Global rank G1G3 (15Jun2006)

State rank S1S3 (15Jun2006)

State rank reasons

A recently described species; an Alaskan endemic more closely related to Siberian congeners than to other North American species. Only one known occurrence, which is afforded moderate protection in the Steese National Conservation Area (managed by the BLM). Threats include degradation of water quality and habitat as a result of past mining activities and off-road vehicle usage. Although unknown, the effects of climate change on water temperature and level are of potential concern.

Taxonomy

Recently described species (Randolph and McCafferty 2005); known only from Birch Creek in the Yukon-Koyukuk region of Alaska. Distinguished from all known North American *Rhithrogena* by the unique shape of the male genitalia (species determination can only be made with adult specimens). Most closely related to Siberian congeners *R. baikovae* (Sowa) and *R. lepnevae* (Brodsky), suggesting allopatric speciation in Alaska (Randolph and McCafferty 2005).

General description

A mayfly with gray-brown head and compound purple eyes (adult stage). Thorax is light or olive brown, forewing with stigma is clouded with white and has no anastomosed veins. Abdomen is light grey with 8-10 gray-brown segments lacking any distinct color patterning. Male subgenital plate with relatively broad, shallow emargination; posterolateral lobes extend posteriorly to a level subequal to level of distal margin of basal forceps segment; penes broadly rounded distally, extending posteriorly beyond base of medially directed spine. Caudal filaments missing from abdomen (Randolph and McCafferty 2005). Larvae are dorso-ventrally flattened to reduce drag in flowing water.

Length (mm) 7.6

Reproduction

Reproductive information specific to this species is lacking; however, mayflies as a group share similar reproductive habits. During late summer



and fall, generally at dusk or dawn, mature adult males form swarms; an individual female enters the swarm where she is seized from below by a male; mating takes place in flight (McCafferty 1998). The female then oviposits fertilized eggs on water surface or under water within an hour. Egg development is highly dependent upon a narrow temperature range for survival, with maximum hatching rates at 15.8 °C and 1.9 - 5.2 °C for two other *Rhithrogena* species (Humpesch and Elliott 1980). Hatching success for *Rhithrogena* is probably low in general (Humpesch and Elliott 1980). At high latitudes, eggs or larvae have seasonal periods of non-development (McCafferty 1998). Mayflies are unique among insects in having two winged stages: a fully-winged terrestrial stage (known as subimago) precedes the sexually mature adult stage (imago; Photo by Peter Maihöfer McCafferty 1998).

Most species have

one or two generations per year and larval development ranges anywhere from a few weeks to as long as two years (McCafferty 1998).

Ecology

Mayflies are routinely used for monitoring water quality because their presence and diversity can be valuable indicators of the health of the aquatic environment. They are a vital link in the food web of freshwater ecosystems, as an important food source to many freshwater fish and insects (Essig 1934, Borror and White 1970, Williams and Feltmate 1992, McCafferty 1998). Other predators include birds, spiders, dragonflies, damselflies, and insectivorous biting midges (McCafferty 1998).

Migration

Many species of mayfly have failed to cross relatively minor physiographic barriers such as small plains; this is thought to be the result of

poor dispersal abilities (Williams and Feltmate 1992).

Food

Mayfly larvae are detritivores and/or herbivores, feeding on algae and organic matter associated with the substrate; adults do not feed (McCafferty 1998).

Habitat

Mayflies live at the bottoms of unpolluted streams, pools, lakes and rivers (Essig 1934, Williams and Feltmate 1992). Most are limited to small flowing waterbodies and by temperature and pH constraints. *Rhithrogena* spp., in particular, cling to rocks or wood in areas of moderate to fast current (Merritt and Cummins 1996). Reduced abundance and diversity of aquatic insects is often associated with shifting sand and mud, although a few species of mayfly apparently prefer this substrate (Resh and Rosenberg 1984). In Glacier Bay National Park, Alaska, percent contribution of mayflies to benthic stream communities increased significantly with stream age and the amount of coarse benthic organic matter (Milner et al. 2000).

State range

Only known occurrence is at Birch Creek, near the Steese Highway, northcentral Alaska.

State abundance

Unknown.

State trend

Unknown.

State protection

Known distribution falls along the Birch Creek National Wild River (NWR) corridor within the Steese National Conservation Area (NCA) managed by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM). The Steese NCA was designated to protect wildlife habitat (NLCS 2004). Where it is consistent with land use plans for the area, mineral development may be permitted; all mining claims are subject to regulations prescribed by the Secretary of the Interior to assure that mining is consistent with the protection of scenic, scientific, cultural, and other resources in the area. Although off-road vehicle (ORV) use along the Birch Creek NWR is restricted, the BLM does not have enough staff to patrol this region and illegal vehicle use is extensive (NLCS 2004).

State threats

Although the single known occurrence of *R. ingalik* is afforded protection within the Birch Creek NWR corridor managed by the BLM, water quality and habitat are at risk of degradation due to the lingering effects of past mining activities and contemporary off-road vehicle usage. Although unknown, the effects of climate change on water temperature and level are of potential concern.

Water contamination: Mayflies are highly sensitive to changes in water quality (e.g., contamination by heavy metals, organic pollutants, and changes in pH, sedimentation and turbidity; Gauvin 1973, Milner and Oswood 1989, McCafferty 1998). Environmental degradation is thought to be responsible for the recent extinction of four species of North American burrowing mayflies (Mayfly Central 2005). Portions of the Steese NCA were heavily mined in the 1970s and 1980s and again in the early 1900s; abandoned equipment, tanks and other waste remain along Birch Creek (Billingsley 2004). The BLM obtained funding from the Abandoned Mine Lands federal program to clean up the remnants of past mining activities; cleanup commenced in 2002. As of 2004, five sites were partially or completely cleaned (Billingsley 2004). The BLM and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADFG) have also worked to realign and stabilize a segment of the Birch Creek channel (BLM 2003). Gold placer mining in interior Alaska during the 1980s had a profound effect on streams; in the Birch Creek area, mined streams have higher levels of turbidity, settleable solids, percent substrate embeddedness, and nonfilterable residue than unmined streams (Wagener 1984). Wagener (1984) found levels of heavy metals (arsenic, lead, zinc, and copper) were higher and benthic invertebrate density and biomass generally lower in mined stream water.

Off-road vehicle use: Off-road vehicle (ORV) use has expanded exponentially in Alaska over the last twenty years, and has the potential to damage stream banks and promote erosion and sedimentation. Although ORV use along the Birch Creek NWR is restricted, the BLM does not have enough staff to patrol this region and illegal vehicle use is extensive (NLCS 2004). Current impacts of ORV usage on *R. ingalik* habitat are unknown, but potentially threatening.

Climate change: Given mayfly sensitivity to water temperature (see Reproduction comments), even

slight changes in temperature and water level related to climate change may be of concern (Milner and Oswood 1989).

State research needs

Due to this species' recent discovery, scant information is available. Baseline data are needed on distribution and abundance. Life history and habitat requirements are unknown and need study.

State inventory needs

Species was described recently from a collection made in 1973; distribution knowledge is incomplete. Surveys are needed to determine the geographic extent of this species within the state.

State conservation and management needs

Due to the extremely limited understanding of distribution, abundance, and life history, it is difficult to assess the conservation and management needs of this species. Continued cleanup of previously mined areas in the Steese NCA is encouraged to improve water quality and general habitat conditions.

LITERATURE CITED

Billingsley, L. 2004. Abandoned mine land cleanups in Interior Alaska. Yukon Government, Department of Energy, Mines, and Resources. Available online at: http://www.emr.gov.yk.ca/mining/northern_latitudes/abstracts/abandoned.htm. Accessed 06July2005.

Borror, D. J. and R. E. White. 1970. A field guide to the insects of America north of Mexico. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston, MA.

Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2003. 2003 Public rewards from public lands: Alaska. Available online at: <http://www.blm.gov/nhp/pubs/rewards/2003/ak.htm>. Accessed 06July2005.

Essig, E. O. 1934. Insects of western North America. MacMillan Company, New York, NY.

Gaufin, A. R. 1973. Water quality requirements of aquatic insects. EPA-660/3-73-004, prepared for the Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

Humpesch, U. H. and J. M. Elliott. 1980. Effect of temperature on the hatching time of eggs of three *Rhithrogena* spp. (Ephemeroptera) from Austrian streams and an English stream and river. *J. of Animal Ecology* 49:643-661.

Mayfly Central. 2005. The mayflies of North America. Available online at: <http://www.entm.purdue.edu/entomology/research/mayfly/why.html>. Accessed 03August2005.

McCafferty, W. P. 1998. Aquatic entomology. Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Sudbury, MA.

Merritt, R.W. and K.W. Cummins. 1996. An introduction to the aquatic insects of North America, third edition. Kendall/Hunt, Dubuque, IA.

Milner, A. M. and M. W. Oswood. 1989. Macroinvertebrate distribution and water quality in Anchorage streams. Institute of Arctic Biology, University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK.

Milner, A. M, E. E. Knudsen, C. Soiseth, A. L. Robertson, D. Schell, I. T. Phillips, and K. Magnusson. 2000. Colonization and development of stream communities across a 200-year gradient in Glacier Bay National Park, Alaska, U.S.A. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 57:2319-2335.

National Landscape Conservation System (NLCS) Coalition. 2004. Steese National Conservation Area. Available online at: <http://www.discovernlcs.org/TheNLCS/ConservationAreas/Steese.cfm>. Accessed 06July2005.

Randolph, R. P. and W. P. McCafferty. 2005. The mayflies (Ephemeroptera) of Alaska, including a new species of Heptageniidae. *Proc. Entomol. Soc. Wash.* 107:190-199.

Resh, V. H. and D. M. Rosenberg. 1984. The ecology of aquatic insects. Praeger Scientific, New York, NY.

Wagener, S. M. 1984. Effects of gold placer mining on stream macroinvertebrates of interior Alaska. M.S. thesis. University of Alaska, Fairbanks, AK.

Williams, D. D. and B. W. Feltmate. 1992.
Aquatic insects. CAB International,
Wallingford, U.K.

Acknowledgements

State Conservation Status, Element Ecology & Life History

Author(s): Gotthardt, T.A. and A.
Jansen,

Alaska Natural Heritage Program, Environment
and Natural Resources Institute, University of
Alaska Anchorage, 707 A Street, Anchorage, AK,
<http://aknhp.uaa.alaska.edu>.

**State Conservation Status, Element Ecology
& Life History Edition Date:** 15Jun2006



Reviewer(s): Dan Rinella, Environment and
Natural Resources Institute, University of Alaska
Anchorage, Anchorage, AK.

Life history and Global level information were
obtained from the on-line database, NatureServe
Explorer (www.natureserve.org/explorer). In
many cases, life history and Global information
were updated for this species account by Alaska
Natural Heritage Program zoologist, Tracey
Gotthardt. All Global level modifications will be
sent to NatureServe to update the on-line
version.

Copyright Notice: Copyright © 2005
NatureServe, 1101 Wilson Boulevard, 15th Floor,
Arlington Virginia 22209, U.S.A. All Rights
Reserved. Each document delivered from this
server or web site may contain other proprietary
notices and copyright information relating to that
document.

NatureServe Conservation Status Factors

Edition Date: 12Jul2005

Photo credit: by Peter Maihöfer, obtained online
at: <http://www.famu.org/mayfly/index.asp>.
